

The objection opens until 10th September. This table will be updated soon after and re-distributed on the evening of TMSC

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
CH1 - Wellington Ave 1) Support, Resident	There will still be concern of parked vehicles on the unrestricted north side of Wellington Avenue, however anything that can be done to alleviate the parking problem would be welcomed. The problems occur mostly during weekdays and during university term time, when the road becomes difficult to navigate safely both as pedestrian and as car driver.	Await petition from residents of Wellington Avenue. No recommendation made until after the end of statutory consultation.

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
<p>KE4 - Norcot Road</p> <p>1) Objection, resident</p>	<p>1) The presence of on street parking is the only thing which helps to slow the traffic. The proposal to remove on street parking will reduce this natural traffic calming and make the road more dangerous. The removal of parking will also cause inconvenience to many residents.</p>	<p>The current parking layout on Norcot Road has worked well since the recent introduction of waiting restriction. The on-street parking is ideally situated and act as a natural traffic calming measures. Visibility is deemed adequate for drivers leaving private driveway onto Norcot Road.</p> <p>It is therefore recommend the proposal to be removed from the current programme and that no further action be taken</p>

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
<p>PA1 - Heath Road</p> <p>1) Support, resident</p>	<p>1) Looking forward to the introduction of the scheme and trust it will solve the ongoing parking issues.</p>	<p>It is therefore recommended to implement the restriction as advertised.</p>

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
<p>PA2 - Eastern Avenue</p> <p>1) Support, Resident 59</p> <p>2) support, Resident</p> <p>3) Comment/objection, resident 49</p> <p>4) comment/objection, Resident</p> <p>5) comment, Resident</p> <p>6) objection, A C</p> <p>7) Comments, J H</p>	<p>1). We like the proposed parking restriction and hope the schemes goes ahead.</p> <p>2) Hope the scheme will be approved, it will make residents life easier.</p> <p>3). Would object to the RP scheme if no plans to introduce Access protection marking across private drive due to the grounds of safety.</p> <p>4). Supportive of the idea of resident permit but the scheme as currently proposed it does not allow opportunity to park outside my property due to the proposed waiting restriction. Request for slight tweaking to accommodate above mentioned issue.</p> <p>5). Agree with the proposed scheme in principle, however feel a shared use RP bay would be more suitable as most property have off street parking and the road will be left completely empty most of the time.</p> <p>6) Concern of the removing free parking on Eastern Avenue will increase parking pressure in the surrounding area. A limited waiting bay will be more beneficial to all road users to allow both flexible parking and ensure frequent turnaround.</p> <p>7) Glad to see changes to manage the severe traffic issues during University term time. However, the new scheme is likely to allow traffic to flow at speed. Concern that</p>	<p>Residents of Eastern Avenue have long campaigned for a resident parking scheme to be introduced.</p> <p>The proposed resident permit scheme will not only improve parking issues that residents have experience over the years but also improve traffic flow on Eastern Avenue and provide safer and better access for all road users.</p> <p>It is therefore recommended to implement the restriction as advertised.</p>

	easing traffic flow will make crossing more difficult and more dangerous. Request of zebra crossing at the top of Eastern Avenue and across Upper Redlands Road.	
--	--	--

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
PA3 - Regis Park Road 1) Objection, resident	1). Agree with the proposal to restriction parking at the junction with Green Road but not further down into Regis Park Road. This area is relatively quiet and parking restrictions are not necessary.	Parking within 10 metres of the junction is contrary to the highway code and causes visibility issue. Therefore it is recommended to implement the restriction as advertised.

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
PE2 - Harlech Avenue 1) Objection, Resident	1) The proposal to shorten the waiting restriction on Harlech Avenue will cause access and safety issues for nearby residents.	Waiting restriction was introduced around corners within Harlech Avenue to improve driver's visibility as part of the waiting restriction review WRR2014A. Whilst parking is at premium in the area it is vital that the safety of road users remains as a top priority. It is therefore recommend the proposal to be removed from the current programme and that no further action be taken

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
<p>PE4 - Lyefield Court</p> <p>1). Objection, Resident</p> <p>2) Objection, Resident</p> <p>3) Objection, M W</p>	<p>1) We strongly object to the addition of double yellow lines as there is limited parking for Bell Court residents. The parked cars never presented danger blocking visibility and as the road is so quiet there is ample room for car to get past.</p> <p>2) The road is wide enough for cars to park on one side and still allow vehicle to pass, so I see no reason why this restriction is needed.</p> <p>3) The road is wide enough for cars to be parked there and have another car pass it. It is not dangerous, nor unsafe and I see no reason for the additional restrictions to be put in place.</p>	<p>Expecting further feedbacks from residents. No recommendation made until after the end of statutory consultation.</p>

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
RE3 - Lydford Road 1) comments, G K	1) The main issue is not parked cars on Lydford Road, but cars turning into Lydford Road to drop off children to school. Improvement can be made by additional restriction on Hatherley Road at the junction with Lydford Road to improve children's safety.	Parking has been observed within Lydford Road during school hour which causes safety concerns especially for children. It is therefore recommended to implement the restriction as advertised.

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
TH2 - Blaenant 1) Objectin, resident	1) I am objecting to the proposed yellow lines at the junction. There have been no issues of dangerous parking at this junction and the restriction would cause inconvenience to residents.	Parking within 10 metres of the junction is contrary to the highway code and causes visibility issue. Therefore it is recommended to implement the restriction as advertised.

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
TI6 - Beverley Road 1) Objection, Resident 2) Objection, Resident	1) The extension of double yellow lines seems excessive. We are of the opinion that an additional 5 metres of double yellow lines would be sufficient to prevent parking problem close to the junction without compromising parking spaces for visitors and residents. 2) The proposal to extend parking restriction by 10m would effectively lead to the loss of 2 car park spaces. It would be more appropriate to extend the restriction by 5m only which would struck between highway safety and the needs for on-street parking	An additional 5m of DYL will increase the restriction at this junction to 15m and further improve visibility. It is therefore recommended to shorten the proposed restriction and implement restriction as shown in the revised drawing TI6_A

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
<p>WH2 - Lexington Grove</p> <p>1) Objection, Resident D B</p> <p>2) Objection, Resident G S</p> <p>3) Objection L B</p>	<p>1) There has been no issue in the past 28 years in the area. The car park at the rear has no street lighting and unsecure, and cause damage to park cars in the past. We feel the residents are happy how the parking system is working at present.</p> <p>2) Work van can pass the cars to access the rear car park without any problem, no obstruction issues hence no point to the proposed restriction</p> <p>3) There has never been any complaint of car parked car here. The proposed restriction will severely cause inconvenience to resident especially those with disabilities.</p>	<p>As there has been representation made by residents as well as visitors to the area objecting to this proposal, it is therefore recommended to remove this scheme from the current programme.</p>